

Special Concerns of the CRM Process

WHY WORRY ABOUT COOPERATIVE PLANNING

Land Ownership patterns:

- Bureau of Land Management - BLM
- U.S. Forest Service - USFS Private
- State
- Others

Lack of cooperation and coordination could damage a plan's operation (i.e. turnout dates, FS & BLM)

Other Interests Involved:

- Wildlife
- Water
- Soil
- Air
- Fisheries
- Wilderness
- Others

If we don't worry about it now, we may face it later through court cases, appeals and other costly approaches.

Cautions:

- There is no "Cookbook" approach
- Process and players should develop to fit the situation
- Legal framework which we operate in 3 c's (*cooperation, consultation, coordination*) required under law (*PRIA, 1978*)
- Planning team approach - consensus decisions used as much as possible issue directed

CRM - A PLANNING PROCESS

Goals of all concerned in the Area

Establish time frames, meetings, etc

Needs and requirements of the system

- plant
- animal
- human

Background information (*What's there now*)

- Livestock (*type, number, season, system, etc.*)
- Wildlife and fish (*same, with other special needs*)
- Range improvements (*H2O, fences, seeding, spray, burn, cattleguards, etc.*)
- Other uses (*watershed, recreation, mineral, etc.*)
- Major conflicts (*existing and potential*)

IMPORTANT: Use of maps and all interests to identify the above tours or on-ground inspections

Development of alternatives

- Address all of issues mentioned earlier
- Selection of preferred alternative
- Grazing system, habitat management, range improvements (*installation and maintenance*), livestock management, etc.

Initiate Plan - Put it into action**Monitoring - Both Short- and Long-term**

- Are the objectives being met?
- Are the goals feasible?
- Should we "redo" the Plan?

“Never Ending Story”

- Monitor, evaluation, revisions
- No plan is set in concrete